

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/698,009	KOEHLER ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>		
Cheryl N Hawkins	1734		

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** In Condition for Allowance

(1) Cheryl N Hawkins.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Craig J. Lervick.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** December 8, 2003

**Time:** 3:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Claims 20-33*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

*Cheryl N. Hawkins*

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Hawkins telephoned Attorney Lervick to request permission to cancel Claims 20-33, which were non-elected with traverse, to bring the application into condition for allowance. Attorney Lervick gave authorization for Examiner Hawkins to accomplish this via an Examiner's Amendment.